



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,633	0/796,633 03/09/2004		Yung-Tsun Hsieh	10113911	2782
34283	7590	02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
QUINTERO LAW OFFICE 1617 BROADWAY, 3RD FLOOR				DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
SANTA MO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•		2642	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,633	HSIEH, YUNG-TSUN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William J. Deane	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	November 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		red in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	ι αιστι πρριφαιώτι (Ε τΟ-192)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 - 3, 6 and 12 - 13 and 15 - 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0016474 (Nagashima).

With respect to claims 1-3, 6, 12 and 15, note Figs. 1, 3, 4, 7, 11 and 15. In particular, note a first cam 6, a second cam 8 and a third cam 9, shaft 5 and compression springs 7 and 14. In addition, note paragraphs 0039-0042.

With respect to claims 13 and 16, note paragraphs 0033 – 0035.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 - 5 and 7 - 11, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagashima.

It appears from the Figs., in particularly Figs, 7, 11 - 12 and 15 and 16 that the cams disclosed by Nagashima are exactly or very similar to those as described in the instant application. Cams are widely known in the art and are designed and cut in many different configurations. Where there is a slight difference in the configuration of the individual cams, it is believed that cams are so notoriously old in the art; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have configured the cams as deemed necessary in light of Nagashima.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagashima in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0036265 (Oh).

Nagashima teaches the claimed limitations except for the use of a pin to secure a cam. However, note that such is taught by Oh at Fig. 4, element 95. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the securing means of Oh into the Nagashima device as such would only entail the substitution of one securing means for another. In addition, note the use of three cams in Fig. 4.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/00400288 (Kang et al.) note Figs. and Abstract.
 - U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0019946 (Okuda) note Figs. and Abstract.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

21Feb2006

WILLIAM J. DÉANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4